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**Key Points**

- Phenytoin may be an effective prophylactic drug for early post-traumatic seizures, however its effectiveness to treat late post-traumatic seizures has not been established.
- Common anticonvulsants other than phenytoin, such as valproate and levetiracetam, have not been shown to be more effective than phenytoin alone for decreasing rate of post-traumatic seizures in ABI populations.
- First generation anti-epileptic drugs are as effective as new generation anti-epileptic drugs in reducing post-traumatic seizures.
- Glucocorticoid administration may increase seizure frequency.
- Injections of midazolam may reduce active seizure activity.
- Carbamazepine may be effective in reducing seizure recurrence.
- Methylphenidate may be effective in reducing rate of post-traumatic seizures.
- Surgical resection may reduce seizures if the focus of the seizures can be localized.
- There is no difference in effectiveness between craniectomies and craniotomies for the reduction of the frequency of seizures.
10. Post-Traumatic Seizure Disorder Following Acquired Brain Injury

10.1 Introduction
Post-traumatic seizures (PTS), although identified as a serious consequence of traumatic brain injury (TBI), remain an understudied problem (Ferguson et al., 2010). Post-traumatic seizure disorders have been defined in the Practice Parameter of the Antiepileptic Drug Treatment of Post-traumatic Seizures by the Brain Injury Special Interest Group of the American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation (1998) and can be found in Table 10.1. This module is intended to provide insight into the frequency and clinical presentation of post-traumatic seizures, as well as any evidence-based interventions that exist.

Table 10.1 Definitions of Post-Traumatic Seizures (p.595; (Brain Injury Special Interest Group, 1998))

| **Seizure:** | Discrete clinical event that reflects a temporary physiologic dysfunction of the brain characterized by excessive and hypersynchronous discharge of cortical neurons. |
| **Post-Traumatic Seizure:** | An initial or recurrent seizure episode not attributable to another obvious cause after penetrating or non-penetrating TBI. The term encompasses both single and recurrent events. |
| **Immediate Post-Traumatic Seizure:** | A seizure due to TBI occurring within the first 24 hours of injury. |
| **Early Post-Traumatic Seizure:** | A seizure due to TBI occurring within the first week of injury. |
| **Late Post-Traumatic Seizure:** | A seizure due to TBI occurring after the first week of injury. |
| **Post-Traumatic Epilepsy:** | A disorder characterized by recurrent late seizure episodes not attributable to another obvious cause in patients following TBI. The term should be reserved for recurrent, late post-traumatic seizures. |
| **Nonepileptic Seizures:** | Episodic behavioural events that superficially resemble epileptic attacks but are not associated with paroxysmal activity within the brain. |
| **Antiepileptic Drug Prophylaxis:** | In the context of post-traumatic seizures, antiepileptic drug treatment administered to prevent seizures in patients who have not manifested seizures. |
| **Epilepsy:** | A condition characterized by recurrent unprovoked seizures. |
| **Practice Parameters:** | Results, in the form of one or more specific recommendations, from a scientifically based analysis of a specific clinical problem. |

10.2 Incidence of Post-Traumatic Seizures
It is believed that up to 20% of structural epilepsy in the general population is a result of TBI (Bushnik et al., 2012). Of all patients with TBI who are hospitalized, 5% to 7% will experience PTS. However, the
incidence of PTS is much higher on rehabilitation units (as high as 18%), which reflects increased injury severity and the presence of a higher number of risk factors in this population (Armstrong et al., 1990; Bontke et al., 1993; Cohen & Grosfasser, 1991; Kalisky et al., 1985; Szabon & Grosfasser, 1990; Sundararajan et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2013a). The incidence of late post-traumatic seizures (LPTS) ranges from 5% to 19% for the general population (Bushnik et al., 2012). Zhao and colleagues (2012) found that when seizures occurred post-TBI, 0.4% were immediate, 0.5% were early, and 88.7% were late. A study examining 236,164 individuals with TBI found that 2.4% had pre-existing epilepsy or a seizure disorder (Wilson & Selassie, 2014); unfortunately, the consequences of a TBI may be more severe in this population.

For those who sustain a severe non-penetrating TBI, approximately 11% will experience LPTS and for those who have a TBI as the result of a penetrating injury, the incidence increases to 13% to 50% (Ascroft, 1941; Caveness & Liss, 1961; Malav et al., 2015; Yablon, 1993). In young adults TBI is the leading cause of epilepsy (Annegers, 1996). Following acquired brain injury (ABI), seizures have been associated with secondary accidental injury, depression, a loss of independence (i.e., driving privileges) and a reduction in employability (1998).

10.3 Risk Factors for Post-Traumatic Seizures and Post-Traumatic Epilepsy

There are several patient and injury characteristics that increase the likelihood of developing PTS. These include increased injury severity (Glasgow Coma Scale score of less than 10, prolonged length of coma, prolonged length of post-traumatic amnesia), depressed skull fractures, cortical contusions, subdural hematomas, epidural hematomas, intracerebral hematomas, penetrating injuries and wounds with dural penetration, a seizure within the first week of injury, male gender, age, and having had multiple neurosurgical procedures (Brain Injury Special Interest Group, 1998; Dikmen et al., 1991; Englander et al., 2003; Krause-Titz et al., 2016; Walker et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2013a; Yablon, 1993; Yeh et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2012). Ferguson et al. (2010) also found those who had other concomitant injuries or comorbid conditions, previous head injuries, stroke, or depression were more likely to develop LPTS, which is congruent with the findings of previous studies (Andelic et al., 2009; Annegers et al., 1998; Weiss et al., 1983). The risk of unprovoked epileptile seizures is greatest during the first six months post injury and higher for individuals with severe injuries (Mahler et al., 2015).

The first year post injury is often when post-traumatic epilepsy (PTE) develops (Di Luca & de Lacerda, 2013; Lamar et al., 2014; Lucke-Wold et al., 2015), however, the risk remains high within two years of injury (Lamar et al., 2014). In a cohort study conducted by Ferguson et al. (2010), the incidence of PTE was highest in individuals 30 to 54 years of age. However, higher rates of PTE have also been reported for those 50 to 59 and 60 to 69 years of age (Zhao et al., 2012). According to a meta-analysis conducted by Xu and colleagues (2017), risk factors for PTE include: male gender, previous alcohol abuse, loss of consciousness at time of TBI, post-traumatic amnesia, and focal neurological signs. Moreover, Diamond et al. (2014) recently explored genetic variance and PTE development in 256 individuals with moderate to severe TBI. The study found that higher cerebrospinal fluid and serum IL-1β (a potential biomarker for epilepsy) ratios were associated with an increased risk of PTE (Diamond et al., 2014). Due to this study being one of the first studies to explore this gene variability, more studies are needed before firm conclusions can be made.

It is important to identify patients who are at high-risk of developing PTS since these patients may benefit from pharmacological seizure prophylaxis. According to Yablon and Dostrow (2001) the clinical characteristics of the patient, the injury, and information obtained from neuroimaging and
electrophysiologic assessment techniques can be used to identify those at high risk for developing seizure disorders post injury.

### Table 10.2 Studies of Risk Factors for Late Post-Traumatic Seizures (p.310; (Yablon & Dostrow, 2001))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Factor</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patient Characteristics</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>(Annegers et al., 1980; Asikainen et al., 1999; Hahn et al., 1988; Kollevold, 1979)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol use</td>
<td>(Evans, 1962; Heikkinen et al., 1990; Japan Follow-up Group for Posttraumatic Epilepsy, 1991; Kollevold, 1978)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history</td>
<td>(Caveness, 1963; Evans, 1962; Heikkinen et al., 1990; Hendrick, 1968)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Injury Characteristics</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone/metal fragments</td>
<td>(Ascroft, 1941; Salazar et al., 1985; Walker &amp; Yablon, 1959)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depressed skull fracture</td>
<td>(Hahn et al., 1988; Jennett B, 1975; Phillips, 1954; Wiederholt et al., 1989)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focal contusions/injury</td>
<td>(da Silva et al., 1992; De Santis et al., 1992; Eide &amp; Tysnes, 1992; Glötzner et al., 1983; Heikkinen et al., 1990)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focal neurologic deficits</td>
<td>(da Silva et al., 1992; Jennett B, 1975; Salazar et al., 1985)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesion location</td>
<td>(da Silva et al., 1992; Evans, 1962; Grafman, 1992)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dural penetration</td>
<td>(Caveness &amp; Liss, 1961; Evans, 1962; Salazar et al., 1985)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intracranial hemorrhage</td>
<td>(Glötzner et al., 1983; Hahn et al., 1988; Japan Follow-up Group for Posttraumatic Epilepsy, 1991)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injury severity</td>
<td>(Evans, 1962; Jennett B, 1975; Salazar et al., 1985; Walker &amp; Yablon, 1961)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early post-traumatic seizures</td>
<td>(Heikkinen et al., 1990; Jennett, 1975; Salazar et al., 1985)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 10.3.1 Onset

The risk of epilepsy is highest within the first two years following brain trauma (Dikmen et al., 1991; Englander et al., 2003; Yablon, 1993). Yablon and Dostrow (2001) have noted that one-half to two-thirds of individuals who suffer PTS will experience seizure onset within the first 12 months, and 75-80% will have seizures within two years of their TBI (Caveness et al., 1979; da Silva et al., 1992; da Silva et al., 1990; Pohlmann-Eden & Bruckmeir, 1997; Walker & Yablon, 1959; Walker & Yablon, 1961). Similarly, of those patients with PTE, Zhao et al. (2012) reported that 66% developed seizures within the first 6 months, 9.9% between 7 and 12 months, 11.7% between 13 and 24 months, and 8.5% between 25 and 36 months. Further, Wang et al. (2013b) examined 3039 individuals with TBI and of the 9.8% that experienced PTS within the first 2 years, occurrence rates at 6 months and 1 year were 59.9% and 78.1%, respectively.

Although the risk of developing PTS is highest within months after the injury (Temkin, 2001), the risk remains high for a period of years. As brain injury severity increases, the period of time for which a survivor is at risk of developing PTS also increases. After 5 years, adults with mild TBI no longer have a significantly increased risk relative to the general population (Annegers et al., 1998), whereas those with moderate or severe TBI, or penetrating TBI remain at increased risk for more than five years post injury (Annegers et al., 1998; da Silva et al., 1992; Pagni, 1990; Salazar et al., 1985). Moreover, military personnel suffering severe penetrating missile brain injuries show an elevated risk for more than 15 years after the injury (Annegers et al., 1998; Caveness et al., 1979; Feeney & Walker, 1979; Salazar et al., 1985; Weiss et al., 1983). The incidence of seizures beginning later than three years post injury is 5% (Zhao et al., 2012).
Those with penetrating trauma typically have their first unprovoked seizure sooner than those patients with non-penetrating trauma (Kazemi et al., 2012). Unprovoked seizures occurred at a median time of one year post injury in a study of 50 participants (Di Luca & de Lacerda, 2013); the former was influenced by injury severity, as well as age at the time of injury (Di Luca & de Lacerda, 2013). In contrast, a study by Kazemi et al. (2012) found that for those with penetrating trauma, 78% had their first seizure within 1 year and 22% after 1 year. The mean latency to epilepsy onset was found to be shorter for mesial temporal sclerosis compared to lesional neocortical trauma (Gupta et al., 2014).

10.3.2 Recurrence
Seizure recurrence is an important factor in the determination of disability, likelihood of employment, and quality of life, and has been associated with increased health care costs (Baker et al., 1997; Yablond & Dostrow, 2001). After a brain insult, there is a latency period where epileptogenesis can begin, which may progress into unprovoked recurrent seizures. Early seizures are likely due to brain insult and the recurrent rate of seizures in this time period is low (Lamar et al., 2014). Some studies have reported that in patients who experienced early PTS, only one-half had a recurrence while another quarter experienced a total of only two to three seizures (De Santis et al., 1979; Kollevold, 1979). After a latent period, epileptogenesis may occur, where a non-epileptic brain increases in excitability due to molecular and cellular alterations from a brain injury. Such alterations in the brain may eventually lead to spontaneous recurrent seizures (Lamar et al., 2014; Lucke-Wold et al., 2015). The risk of seizure recurrence in the late stage post injury is higher compared to the early stage and may be more representative of epilepsy (Lamar et al., 2014). In a study conducted by Zhao et al. (2012), 5.7% of patients with TBI experienced seizures more than once a week, 69.5% more than once a month, and 24.8% had a seizure frequency greater than once a year. After vagus nerve stimulation, patients with PTE demonstrated a greater reduction in seizure frequency, with 50% fewer seizures occurring at three months and 7% fewer at two years than individuals with non-PTE who received vagus nerve stimulation (Englot et al., 2012).

The following table provides a summary of the natural history for the onset and recurrence of PTS (Yablond & Dostrow, 2001).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20 – 30% of patients with early PTS experience a late seizure.</td>
<td>(Yablond &amp; Dostrow, 2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seizure onset after the first week is associated with a much higher likelihood of seizure recurrence.</td>
<td>(Haltiner et al., 1997; Walker &amp; Yablond, 1961)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seizure frequency within the first year post injury may predict future seizure recurrence.</td>
<td>(Salazar et al., 1985)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistent PTS may be seen more commonly with partial seizures and less commonly with generalized seizures.</td>
<td>(Salazar et al., 1985)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A seizure occurring within a few minutes of a head injury has not been found to increase the risk of recurrence in individuals who sustain a mild TBI.</td>
<td>(Jennett B, 1975; McCrory et al., 1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A small number of patients experience frequent seizure recurrences, apparently refractory to conventional anti-seizure therapy.</td>
<td>(Haltiner et al., 1997; Pohllmann-Eden &amp; Bruckmeir, 1997)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Some patients may benefit from surgical intervention. (Diaz-Arrastia et al., 2000; Marks et al., 1995)

10.4 Clinical Presentation of Post-Traumatic Seizures
Wiedemayer et al. (2002) retrospectively analyzed a consecutive series of 1868 adult patients with head injury and found that the first epileptic seizure was generalized in 69 patients (63.3%) and partial in 40 patients (36.7%). Fifty-eight patients (53.2%) experienced a second early seizure during the follow-up period. Based on multiple studies, the incidence by seizure type is as follows: complex or simple partial seizures with secondary generalization, 16%-77% (Di Luca & de Lacerda, 2013; Kazemi et al., 2012; Sapina et al., 2014; Zhao et al., 2012); generalized tonic-clonic seizures, 30%-53.6% (Di Luca & de Lacerda, 2013; Zhao et al., 2012; Zheng et al., 2013); simple partial seizures, 14%-42.3% (Zhao et al., 2012; Zheng et al., 2013); complex partial seizures, 4.1%-16% (Sapina et al., 2014; Zhao et al., 2012; Zheng et al., 2013); and generalized atonic seizures, 2% (Di Luca & de Lacerda, 2013).

There has also been a correlation found between the type and frequency of seizures; those with simple or complex partial seizures experience a higher frequency of seizures (Kazemi et al., 2012). In a study examining 66 individuals who developed LTPS, it was determined that 79% had generalized seizures and 21% had focal seizures (Englander et al., 2003). Another study found focal epilepsy was the most common subtype of PTE, diagnosed in 93% of patients and arising most commonly from the temporal lobes and frontal lobes (Gupta et al., 2014). More specifically, 57% had temporal lobe epilepsy, 35% had frontal lobe epilepsy, 3% had parietal lobe epilepsy, and another 3% had occipital lobe epilepsy (Gupta et al., 2014).

Seizures following TBI may themselves be a source of significant morbidity and it has been noted that the recurrence of seizures is an important cause of non-elective hospitalization in patients with severe TBI (Cifu et al., 1999). Potential complications include deterioration in cognitive and behavioural functioning and overall functional status, impaired neurological recovery, status epilepticus, and death.

10.4.1 Cognitive and Behavioural Function
Post-traumatic seizure disorders may lead to cognitive and behavioural disorders (Yablon & Dostrow, 2001). Cognitive problems may arise during the interictal state in the absence of active seizures (Aarts et al., 1984; Binnie & Marston, 1992). Patients with PTS can experience persistent behavioural abnormalities and a higher incidence of psychiatric-related hospitalizations even compared to patients with penetrating TBI who do not experience PTS (Swanson et al., 1995).

10.4.2 Influence on Neurologic Recovery
Neurological recovery can be influenced by PTS (Hernandez & Naritoku, 1997; Yablon & Dostrow, 2001). Yablon and Dostrow (2001) have noted that, in rodent models, brief and infrequent PTS occurring early after brain damage do not appear to impact functional recovery; however, more severe and widespread seizures occurring within the first 6 days post brain injury result in permanent impairments of functional recovery. Seizures occurring after the sixth day result in no change in somatosensory recovery (Hernandez & Naritoku, 1997).

10.4.3 Functional Status
Recurrent PTS may exert a negative impact on functional status following TBI, an adverse effect independent of the severity of the injury (Barlow et al., 2000; Schwab et al., 1993). In the case of penetrating TBI, PTS have been reported to be an important and independent factor which affects
both employment status and cognitive performance (Schwab et al., 1993). However, in the case of non-penetrating TBI, the impact of PTS on functional prognosis and cognition is less clear (Armstrong et al., 1990; Asikainen et al., 1999). Within a population of individuals with LPTS, Kolakowsky-Hayner and colleagues (2013) discovered that occupational and social integration were the most difficult areas for recovery post injury. However, Haltiner et al. (1997) found no significant differences at 1 year as a consequence of LPTS in terms of neuropsychological performance and psychosocial functioning when adjusted for injury severity. Asikainen et al. (1999) found that patients with PTS did have poorer outcomes on the Glasgow Outcome Scale (GOS). A more recent study found that of individuals with LPTS, 20% were severely disabled, 52% moderately disabled, and 28% had a good recovery, as measured by the Extended GOS. No significant differences in employment outcome associated with the presence of PTS have been found (Asikainen et al. 1999). Further, Kolakowsky-Hayner et al. (2013) found that among a group of individuals with TBI-LPTS, 40% (7 of 20) of individuals who were driving prior to injury had their license suspended due to their first seizure, and 3 were able to re-obtain their license.

10.4.4 Status Epilepticus
Status epilepticus can be defined as either more than 5 minutes of continuous seizure activity or two or more sequential seizures without full recovery of consciousness between seizures. Status epilepticus is regarded as the most serious of the complications of PTS and may actually lead to additional neurological damage. Simple partial status epilepticus is a subset of status epilepticus characterized as a partial focal seizure that does not cause loss of consciousness or secondary generalization (Hadjigeorgiou et al., 2013). Fortunately, clinically apparent status epilepticus and simple partial status epilepticus are infrequent complications of PTS (Kollevold, 1979), with only 0.16% of individuals hospitalized with TBI with status epilepticus (Dhakar et al., 2015).

10.4.5 Mortality
In earlier studies, mortality was reported to be high among those who sustain a TBI and develop PTS (Corkin et al., 1984; Walker & Blumer, 1989; Walker & Erculei, 1970). More recently, Englander et al. (2009) found mortality rates to be higher for patients with TBI who had been diagnosed with LPTS when compared with those who had no recorded history of LPTS. Those in the LPTS group who died tended to be younger than individuals who did not have LPTS. Earlier studies found that patients with penetrating TBIs had a higher risk of dying; however, this is more likely due to the initial trauma rather than PTS (Rish & Caveness, 1973; Rish et al., 1983). Yablon and Dostrow (2001) have noted that the complications of a single LPTS are no different than those seen after any seizure, and are generally minimal. However, increased seizure frequency and severity are associated with an increased risk of mortality and morbidity in the form of worsened cognition and overall function.

10.5 Treatment of Post-Traumatic Seizures
Schierhout and Roberts (2001) reported that a seizure occurring soon after head injury may cause secondary brain damage by increasing metabolic demands of the brain, increasing intracranial pressure, and leading to excessive amounts of neurotransmitter release. For this reason, the primary therapeutic objective in the use of anticonvulsant drugs has been the prevention of early seizures in an attempt to minimize the extent of secondary brain damage following TBI.

Some anticonvulsant drugs have been shown to have neuroprotective properties in animal studies. For example, following hypoxia, phenytoin has been linked with reduced neuronal damage in neonatal rats (Vartanian et al., 1996) and in rat hippocampal cell cultures (Tasker et al., 1992). Experimental
Evidence suggests that the neuroprotective effects of phenytoin are related to a blockage of voltage dependent sodium channels during hypoxia (Tasker et al., 1992; Vartanian et al., 1996) which would be expected to decrease the spread of calcium-induced neurotoxicity following hypoxic brain injury. As noted by Schierhout and Roberts (2001), this suggests that anti-epileptics may have beneficial properties which may be independent of their proposed anti-seizure activity.

Conversely, anti-epileptic drugs have shown toxic effects in stable patients, with impaired mental and motor function being the most common adverse effects; serious adverse effects, including deaths as a result of hematological reactions, have been also reported (Reynolds et al., 1998). Schierhout and Roberts (2001) have suggested that the injured brain’s response to anticonvulsants may be such that toxic effects could be more pronounced and neurological recovery may be delayed.

### 10.5.1 Seizure Prevention or Prophylaxis

Initially, retrospective and nonrandomized clinical trials in humans showed favourable results for the efficacy of anti-epileptic drug prophylaxis; however, prospective investigations of chronic prophylaxis for LPTS have been less impressive. This section summarizes the literature to date which has explored the use of various drugs for seizure prevention.

#### 10.5.1.1 Phenytoin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author/Year/Country/Study Design/PEDro/N</th>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dikmen et al.</strong> (1991) USA RCT PEDro=6 N\text{Initial}=244, N\text{Final}=124</td>
<td>Population: Head Injury; Phenytoin Group (n=104): Mean Age=30.9yr; Gender: Male=82, Female=22; Median GCS=11. Placebo Group (n=101): Mean Age=32.9yr; Gender: Male=70, Female=31; Median GCS=9. Treatment: Patients were randomized to receive phenytoin (prophylactic medication) or a placebo for 1yr. Patients were then observed for another 1yr while unmedicated. Outcome Measure: Halstead–Reitan Neuropsychological Test Battery, Katz Adjustment Scale, Sickness Impact Profile.</td>
<td>1. From 1 to 12mo, more participants in the treatment group stopped receiving their assigned drug (p&lt;0.01) due to idiosyncratic reactions and requests. 2. Those severely injured (GCS≤8) and receiving phenytoin did more poorly on most neuropsychological measures than controls determined by the overall rank-sum type test at 1mo (p&lt;0.05). No significant differences were found at 1yr. 3. No significant differences in neuropsychological performance were found between groups for patients with moderate injuries (GCS&gt;9) at 1mo or 1yr. 4. Changes in neuropsychological measures from 12 to 24mo showed that phenytoin had a small but negative widespread cognitive effect as evidenced by the overall rank-sum type test (p&lt;0.05).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Temkin et al.</strong> (1990) USA RCT PEDro=6 N\text{Initial}=404, N\text{Final}=123</td>
<td>Population: TBI; Phenytoin Group (n=208): Mean Age=34yr; Gender: Male=162, Female=46; GCS ≤10=125. Placebo Group (n=196): Mean Age=34yr; Gender: Male=147, Female=49; GCS ≤10=131. Treatment: Participants were randomized to either the phenytoin (n=208) or placebo group (n=196). Phenytoin group received an initial</td>
<td>1. Cumulative early seizure rates were 3.6% in the phenytoin group and 14.2% in the control group (p&lt;0.001); phenytoin was associated with a decrease of 73% in the risk of early seizures. 2. Late seizure occurrence (day 8 to 2yr) did not differ significantly between the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Participants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young et al. (1983)</td>
<td>USA RCT PEDro=6 N=244</td>
<td>Population: TBI; Phenytoin Group (n=136): Mean Age=24.4y; Gender: Male=110, Female=26. Placebo Group (n=108): Mean Age=25.8y; Gender: Male=91, Female=71.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young et al. (1983)</td>
<td>USA RCT PEDro=6 N=179</td>
<td>Population: TBI; Phenytoin Group (n=105): Mean Age=24.1y; Gender: Male=84, Female=21. Placebo Group (n=74): Mean Age=26.3y; Gender: Male=61, Female=13.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McQueen et al. (1983)</td>
<td>United Kingdom RCT PEDro=7 N=164</td>
<td>Population: TBI; Phenytoin Group (n=84): Gender: Male=67, Female=17; Age Range: 5-15yr=29, 16-65yr=55. Placebo Group (n=80): Gender: Male=63, Female=17; Age Range: 5-15yr=14, 16-65yr=66.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bhullar et al. (2014)</td>
<td>USA Case Control N=93</td>
<td>Population: TBI, GCS=3-8; No Prophylaxis Group (n=43): Gender: Male=28, Female=15. Phenytoin Prophylaxis Group (n=50): Gender: Male=42, Female=8. Treatment: Medical records were reviewed and patients were divided into two groups: no prophylaxis and phenytoin prophylaxis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Servit &amp; Musil (1981)</td>
<td>Czechoslovakia Cohort N=167</td>
<td>Population: TBI; Mean Age=30.6y; Gender: Male=128, Female=39. Treatment: Participants in the treatment group (n=143) were administered phenytoin (160-240mg/d) and phenobarbital (20-60mg/d).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: PEDro score refers to the Physiotherapy Evidence Database Quality Rating Scale.*

**Evidence-Based Review of Moderate to Severe Acquired Brain Injury**
control group (n=24) was treated with conventional methods for 2yr.

**Outcome Measure:** Occurrence of late seizures.

PEDro=Physiotherapy Evidence Database rating scale score (Moseley et al., 2002).

**Discussion**

When the administration of phenytoin is compared to a placebo, its effect on the occurrence of early seizures is not encouraging; both Bhullar et al. (2014); Temkin et al. (1990) and Young et al. (1983) did not find phenytoin to be effective. However, one RCT by Temkin et al., (1990) did find that phenytoin reduced the rate of early seizures only compared to placebo. A systematic review by Thompson et al. (2015) found that the traditional antiepileptic drugs, phenytoin or carbamazepine, decreased the risk of early seizures compared to controls (RR 0.42; 95% CI, 0.23 to 0.73, p=0.003); however, the evidence was low quality. Moreover, phenytoin was found to be no more effective than placebo in preventing late seizures (McQueen et al., 1983; Temkin et al., 1990; Young et al., 1983). In fact, Formisano et al. (2007) found that the occurrence of late seizures was significantly higher in patients treated with antiepileptic medications than those who were not. It should be noted that phenytoin has shown to have a negative impact on recovery. Further, those taking phenytoin had longer hospital stays and worse functional outcomes at discharge than individuals receiving no treatment (Bhullar et al., 2014). Overall, the evidence for the use of phenytoin for the prevention of seizures is not favorable. There was no significant difference in mortality between those treated with antiepileptic drugs (phenytoin and carmazepam) and control subjects (RR 1.08; 95% CI, 0.79 to 1.46, p=0.64)(Thompson et al., 2015).

**Conclusions:**

*There is level 1b evidence that phenytoin is effective in reducing the rate only of early onset post-traumatic seizures in TBI patients.*

*There is conflicting evidence regarding whether or not phenytoin is effective in preventing post-traumatic seizure disorder long term compared to placebo treatment in TBI patients.*

Phenytoin may be an effective prophylactic drug for early post-traumatic seizures, however its effectiveness to treat late post-traumatic seizures has not been established.

**10.5.1.2 Combination or Comparative Anti-epileptic Drugs**

**Table 10.5 Summary of studies comparing anti-epileptic drugs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author/Year/Country/Study design/PEDro Score/N</th>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Javed et al. (2016)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Population:</strong> Group 1 (n=50): Mean Age=31.16yr. Group 2 (n=50): Mean Age=34.96. <strong>Intervention:</strong> Group 1: received IV phenytoin and Levetiracetam (35 mg/kg three times daily).</td>
<td>1. There were no significant differences between the number patients in each group which had post-traumatic seizures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Population</td>
<td>Intervention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khan et al. (2016)</td>
<td>TBI; Levetiracetam Group (LEV, n=164): Mean Age=65.96yr; Gender: Male=98, Female=66; Mean GCS=13.5. Phenytoin Group (PHT; n=124): Mean Age=62yr; Gender: Male=85, Female=39; Mean GCS=12.7.</td>
<td>Group A received Phenytoin (5 mg/kg/day), group B received Levetiracetam (10-20 mg/kg/day).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radic et al. (2014)</td>
<td>Population: Subdural Hematoma; Levetiracetam group (LEV, n=164): Mean Age=46.8yr; Gender: Male=10, Female=4; Mean GCS=3. Levetiracetam Group (LEV, n=5): Mean Age=48.8yr; Gender: Male=3, Female=2; Mean GCS=14.</td>
<td>Participants were divided based on prophylactic treatment: PHT or LEV. Follow-up interview conducted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gabriel &amp; Rowe (2014)</td>
<td>TBI; Phenytoin Group (PHT, n=14): Mean Age=46.8yr; Gender: Male=10, Female=4; Mean GCS=3. Levetiracetam Group (LEV, n=5): Mean Age=48.8yr; Gender: Male=3, Female=2; Mean GCS=14.</td>
<td>Participants were divided based on prophylactic treatment: PHT or LEV.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inaba et al. (2013)</td>
<td>Population: TBI; Levetiracetam Group (LEV, n=406): Mean Age=51.7yr; Gender: Male=300, Female=106; Mean GCS=12.1. Phenytoin Group (PHT, n=407): Mean Age=53.6yr; Gender: Male=280, Female=127; Mean GCS=12.6.</td>
<td>Participants were administered either LEV at 1000mg every 12h or PHT. In the PHT group the loading dose was 20mg/kg then 5mg/kg/d every 8h. Treatment lasted 7d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kruer et al. (2013)</td>
<td>Population: TBI; Median GCS=5. Phenytoin Group (PHT, n=89): Median Age=43.1yr; Gender: Male=76, Female=13. Levetiracetam Group (LEV,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Szaflarski et al. (2010)</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>RCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steinbaugh et al. (2012)</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones et al. (2008)</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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| Population: | TBI; Gender: Male=310, Female=69. Phenytoin Group (n=132): Mean Age=36yr; Mean GCS=11.7. Valproate (1mo, n=120): Mean Age=40yr; Mean GCS=11.6. Valproate (6mo, n=127): Mean Age=36yr; Mean GCS=11.1. | 1. There was no significant difference in the number of early seizures between the combined valproate (4.5%) and phenytoin (1.5%, p=0.14) groups. 2. There was no significant difference between groups (p=0.19) in the occurrence of late seizures. 3. Late seizures occurred in 11, 17, and 15 participants in the 1mo and 6mo valproate groups and the phenytoin group, respectively. 4. There were no significant differences in mortality rates between groups (7.2% phenytoin versus 13.4% in the combined valproate group, p=0.07). 5. In the phenytoin group, a participant had a rash requiring medication at 1wk and in the valproate (6mo) group a participant had low neutrophil count at 2-4wk, both thought to be treatment related. |
| Treatment: | Patients were divided into three groups within 24h of injury: (1) phenytoin for 1wk (20mg/kg then 5mg/kg/d), placebo until 6mo post injury; (2) Valproate (20mg/kg, then 15mg/kg/d) for 1mo, placebo for 5mo; or (3) valproate for 6mo. Follow-up continued for 2yr. | 1. There was no significant difference in the number of early seizures between the combined valproate (4.5%) and phenytoin (1.5%, p=0.14) groups. 2. There was no significant difference between groups (p=0.19) in the occurrence of late seizures. 3. Late seizures occurred in 11, 17, and 15 participants in the 1mo and 6mo valproate groups and the phenytoin group, respectively. 4. There were no significant differences in mortality rates between groups (7.2% phenytoin versus 13.4% in the combined valproate group, p=0.07). 5. In the phenytoin group, a participant had a rash requiring medication at 1wk and in the valproate (6mo) group a participant had low neutrophil count at 2-4wk, both thought to be treatment related. |
| Outcome Measure: | A battery of neuropsychological measures. | 1. There was no significant difference in the number of early seizures between the combined valproate (4.5%) and phenytoin (1.5%, p=0.14) groups. 2. There was no significant difference between groups (p=0.19) in the occurrence of late seizures. 3. Late seizures occurred in 11, 17, and 15 participants in the 1mo and 6mo valproate groups and the phenytoin group, respectively. 4. There were no significant differences in mortality rates between groups (7.2% phenytoin versus 13.4% in the combined valproate group, p=0.07). 5. In the phenytoin group, a participant had a rash requiring medication at 1wk and in the valproate (6mo) group a participant had low neutrophil count at 2-4wk, both thought to be treatment related. |

**Discussion**

When phenytoin was compared to levetiracetam, the two drugs were comparable in terms of seizure rates (Inaba et al., 2013; Javed et al., 2016; Jones et al., 2008; Krue et al., 2013; Radic et al., 2014), complications, adverse drug reactions, mortality rates (Inaba et al., 2013), and length of hospital stay (Krue et al., 2013). A randomized controlled trial (RCT) by Szaflarski et al. (2010) found similar results in terms of there being no difference for early seizure rates, death, or adverse events between the two drugs; however, the authors found that those on levetiracetam performed significantly better on the Disability Rating Scale at 3 and 6 months (p=0.042), and the GOS at 6 months (p=0.039) post intervention compared to the phenytoin group. Furthermore, upon differentiation Radic et al. (2014) found that individuals with a midline shift greater than 0 millimeters were at a higher risk for electrographic seizures and a lower risk for adverse drug reactions on levetiracetam compared to phenytoin. Overall, a meta-analysis by Zafar et al. (2012) concluded that there was no superiority of either drug at preventing early seizures.

When examining the effects of phenytoin compared to valproate Temkin et al. (1999) found no significant differences in rates of early seizures, late seizures, or mortality. Dikmen et al. (1991) found that severely injured individuals receiving phenytoin performed no more poorly on neuropsychological measures than those taking valproic acid and valproate. The following year (12 to 24 months), phenytoin was shown to have a small but negative effect on cognition (Dikmen et al., 1991).

**Conclusions:**

*There is level 1a evidence that valproate is not more effective as a prophylactic anti-seizure medication compared to phenytoin.*
There is level 1b evidence that levetiracetam is not more effective as a prophylactic anti-seizure medication compared to phenytoin.

Common anticonvulsants other than phenytoin, such as valproate and levetiracetam, have not been shown to be more effective than phenytoin alone for decreasing rate of post-traumatic seizures in ABI populations.

10.5.1.3 Miscellaneous Anti-epileptic Drugs

Table 10.6 Studies examining miscellaneous anti-epileptic drug efficacy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author/Year/Country/Study Design/PEDro/N</th>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sapina &amp; Ratkovic (2017)</strong> Croatia Cohort N=226</td>
<td><strong>Population</strong>: Post-Traumatic Epilepsy Group (n=113): Gender: Males=67, Females=46. Complex Partial Seizures, Control Group (n=113): Gender: Males=20, Females=93. <strong>Intervention</strong>: Patients were either administered conventional anti-epileptic drugs (AED) or new generation AEDs. <strong>Outcome Measure</strong>: EEG severity, time to remission of seizures.</td>
<td>1. Both groups significantly reduced EEG severity with treatment over a five-year period regardless of drug (p&lt;0.05). 2. There were no significant differences in EEG severity based on drug given between groups. 3. Patients in the standard AED group with comorbid psychiatric disorders had a significantly longer time to remission (p&lt;0.05) than those in the new generation AED group with comorbid psychiatric disorders.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Formisano et al. (2007)</strong> Italy/USA Pre-Post N=137</td>
<td><strong>Population</strong>: TBI; GCS&lt;8. Study 1 (prospective, n=82): Mean Age=27.1yr; Gender: Male=43, Female=12; Time post Injury=62.1d. Study 2 (retrospective, n=55): Mean Age=25.5yr; Gender: Male=59, Female=23; Time Post Injury=55.9d. <strong>Treatment</strong>: Patients were studied retrospectively and prospectively to determine if anti-epileptic medications were administered and the incidence of late post-traumatic epilepsy (PTE). <strong>Outcome Measure</strong>: Occurrence of PTE.</td>
<td>1. Within study 1, 18% had late PTE; there was no significant difference in the incidence of PTE between non-treated patients and those treated with prophylactic therapy (p=0.29). 2. Within study 2, the occurrence of late PTE was significantly higher in patients treated with an anti-epileptic medication than those not treated (39% vs 0%, p=0.004). 3. Out of those treated with medication (n=69), 30 showed epileptic abnormalities on their EEGs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Watson et al. (2004)</strong> USA Cohort N=404</td>
<td><strong>Population</strong>: Severe TBI; Gender: Male=309, Female=95. <strong>Treatment</strong>: Participants who were administered glucocorticoid medications (&lt;1wk post injury; n=125) were compared to those who were not (n=279). 98% of those treated were given dexamethasone. Those in the treatment group were further divided into those administered the drug within 0-1d (n=105) and 2-7d (n=20). Follow-up continued for 2yr. <strong>Outcome Measure</strong>: Occurrence of late seizures (defined based on order of occurrence as first or second late seizures), mortality.</td>
<td>1. Compared to the untreated group, those treated within 1d were significantly more likely to develop first late seizures (p=0.04); an increase of 74% in the risk of first late seizures was seen. 2. Receiving glucocorticoids ≥ 2 days after TBI was not associated with first late seizure development. 3. There was no significant association between receiving glucocorticoids within 1d (p=0.28; HR=1.41; CI 95%, 0.75-2.63) or ≥2d (p=0.54; HR=0.63; 95% CI, 0.15-2.74) after TBI and second late seizures.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Discussion
In terms of other medications studied, phenobarbital alone has been shown to have no prophylactic effect on PTE (Manaka, 1992). Glucocorticoids given within one day post injury may put patients at an increased risk of developing late seizures (Watson et al., 2004); however, there is no association between late seizures and glucocorticoids if given after the first day post injury (Watson et al., 2004).

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Population:</strong> Head Injury; <strong>Severe Group:</strong> Mean Age=38.0yr. <strong>Mild Group:</strong> Mean Age=29.3yr.</td>
<td><strong>Population:</strong> TBI=25, ABI=5; Mean Age=32y; Mean Time Post Injury=14.1mo.</td>
<td><strong>Population:</strong> TBI=8, ABI=1, Other=1; Mean Age=32.9yr; Gender: Male=9, Female=1.</td>
<td><strong>Population:</strong> TBI; Mean Age=24yr; Gender: Male=22, Female=5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Treatment:</strong> Patients with severe injuries were divided into two groups: phenobarbital (n=50; 10 – 25 µg/ml) or control (n=76) starting at 4wk post injury for 2yr, tapering off at 3yr. Follow-up continued for 5yr. Participants with mild head injury were in a third group (n=65).</td>
<td><strong>Treatment:</strong> Chart review of individuals with late post-traumatic seizures treated with Methylphenidate. Majority (n=28) also received an anticonvulsant (carbamazepine or valproic acid).</td>
<td><strong>Treatment:</strong> Intramuscular midazolam was administered.</td>
<td><strong>Treatment:</strong> Patients taking phenytoin or phenobarbital had these medications stopped and replaced with carbamazepine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Outcome Measure:</strong> Occurrence of seizures.</td>
<td><strong>Outcome Measure:</strong> Occurrence of seizures.</td>
<td><strong>Outcome Measure:</strong> Cessation of seizures.</td>
<td><strong>Outcome Measure:</strong> Occurrence of seizures.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. No significant differences in the number of first (p=0.10) or second late seizures (p=0.41) were found between the treated and not treated groups.  
5. There was no cumulative effect found of glucocorticoid exposure on late seizure development (p=0.63; HR=1.16; 95%CI, 0.63–2.16).  
6. No difference was noted in cumulative mortality between groups (p=0.57).  

*Results of mild head injury group not reported here*  
1. At follow-up, 12.7% (n=16) of participants with severe head injury developed epileptic attacks; 8 (16%) in the treatment group and 8 (10.5%) controls.  
2. Four patients had a higher seizure frequency while taking methylphenidate, 13 had a lower frequency of seizures on the medication, and 13 had no change between being on or off the medication.  
3. Twenty patients had no seizures while taking methylphenidate.  
4. There was a trend toward a lesser incidence of seizures in patients during methylphenidate treatment (p=0.063).  
5. All patients experienced seizure cessation within minutes of midazolam administration.  
6. The only reported side effect was slight to moderate sedation.  
7. Patients were on the medication due to previous seizures (n=13) or because they were considered high risk for seizures (n=14).  
8. For all participants after the medication switch: 10 had a decrease in seizure frequency, 13 had no change, and 4 reported an increase.  
9. For the subgroup of participants with previously documented seizures before the medication switch (n=13): 10 had a decrease in seizure frequency, 1 had no change, and 2 had an increase.
There appears to be very little research to evaluate the efficacy of anticonvulsants given to treat seizures after they have occurred. We identified only one such study in this review. Wroblewski et al. (1992) reported on a collection of ten case studies of patients with TBI treated with intramuscular midazolam for acute seizure cessation after other benzodiazepine drugs had failed. The authors reported that in all patients, seizures ceased within minutes of midazolam administration. Midazolam also prevented the onset of prolonged seizures or status epilepticus. Slight to moderate sedation was the only reported side effect. In terms of the efficacy between first generation and new generation anti-epileptic drugs, no significant differences were found (Sapina & Ratkovic, 2017), indicating that the use of first generation drugs may still be appropriate.

**Conclusions:**

*There is level 2 evidence that there is no difference in the ability, between first generation and new generation anti-epileptic drugs, in reducing the rate of post-traumatic seizures.*

*There is level 2 evidence that glucocorticoid administration within 1 day may put patients at a higher risk of late seizure development.*

*There is level 4 evidence that methylphenidate may be an effective anti-convulsant medication.*

*There is level 4 evidence that an intramuscular injection of midazolam may be effective in stopping active seizure activity.*

*There is level 4 evidence that carbamazepine may reduce seizure activity.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First generation anti-epileptic drugs are as effective as new generation anti-epileptic drugs in reducing post-traumatic seizures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glucocorticoid administration may increase seizure frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injections of midazolam may reduce active seizure activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbamazepine may be effective in reducing seizure recurrence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methylphenidate may be effective in reducing rate of post-traumatic seizures</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10.5.2 Surgical Treatment of Post-Traumatic Seizures

Yablon and Dostrow (2001) noted the recent interest in a subgroup of ABI patients who experience continued PTS despite treatment with multiple antiepileptic drugs. For this special group of patients, surgical treatment may be a viable option.

10.5.2.1 Surgical Excision of the Post-Traumatic Seizure Focus

Some studies have reported a decrease in seizures following surgical resection among a selected group of PTE patients (Diaz-Arrastia et al., 2000; Doyle et al., 1996). The major challenge in this
treatment approach is the accurate localization of the exact region responsible for the development of seizures. This is particularly true for patients with severe ABI who frequently show multiple and bilateral sites of brain injury (Diaz-Arrastia et al., 2000).

### Table 10.7 Studies Examining Surgical Treatment of Post-Traumatic Seizures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author/Year/Country/Study Design/N</th>
<th>Population: Mean Age=72.7yr; Gender: Males=94, Females=45; GCS: ≤8=73, &gt;8=66. Intervention: Patients either received a craniotomy or a craniectomy</th>
<th>Outcome Measure: Risk factors for seizure incidence, seizures for craniotomy vs craniectomy, functional outcome at 3 mo post-discharge.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Won et al. (2017) Germany Cohort N=139</td>
<td>1. A GCS score of ≤8 was seen as a significant predictor of post-traumatic seizures (p=0.03). Additionally, having a GCS score of ≤8 24 hr post-op was also seen to significantly predict post-traumatic seizures (p=0.008). 2. There was no significant difference in terms of seizure rate between those who received a craniotomy compared to a craniectomy (p=0.06). 3. There was no significant difference in functional outcomes between groups.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zheng et al. (2013) USA Case Series N=97</td>
<td>1. Sixty-two (63.9%) of the 97 patients were seizure free for the entire postoperative follow-up. 2. Thirteen (13.4%) of the 97 patients experienced frequent seizures. 3. Fourteen (14.4%) of the 97 patients experienced early postoperative seizures. 4. Thirty-three (34.0%) of the 97 patients experienced late postoperative seizures include 12 of the 14 patients who experienced early seizures.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hakimian et al. (2012) USA Case Series N=21</td>
<td>1. Most patients had both frequent complex partial and generalized tonic-clonic seizures and were unsuccessfully treated with an average of 4.15 antiepileptic drugs. 2. Six patients were seizure-free, six patients had rare seizures (≤2/yr), five had a reduction in frequency, and 4 had no benefit from the surgery. 3. Two patients had significant complications (subdural hematomas).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marks et al. (1995) USA Case Series N=25</td>
<td>1. Prior to surgery seizures were localized to the mesial temporal region (Group 1, n=17) and extrahippocampal neocortical area (Group 2, n=8). 2. Nine patients had their seizures successfully localized and underwent a surgical procedure. Afterwards, all were seizure free. 3. Six patients did not have their seizures adequately localized.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion
Marks et al. (1995) reported that, in a cohort of 25 patients with PTS, it was possible to successfully localize the seizure focus in less than half of the sample. Subsequent surgical excision of the area presumed to be the seizure focus resulted in seizure reduction in all treated patients. In those patients who showed a favourable result, the brain injury lesion was specifically limited to the hippocampus or neocortex (Marks et al., 1995), thus making the identification and surgical resection more accurate. This study supports that surgical excision of the seizure focus may only be a viable treatment option for a subgroup of ABI patients in whom the site of brain injury can be accurately identified. Therefore, patients suffering severe ABI with multiple and bilateral localizations would not be suitable.

In a more recent study, Won et al. (2017) examined the effects of a craniectomy compared to those of a craniotomy on seizure frequency. No significant differences were found between groups on seizure occurrence or functional outcome 3 months following surgery. However, Won et al. (2017) noted that a GCS ≤8 was a significant predictor of post-traumatic seizures.

Hakimian et al. (2012) retrospectively examined patients with TBI who had an extratemporal resection for PTE. The resection resulted in 28% of patients being seizure free, approximately 50% of patients with a reduction in seizure frequency, and 19% of patients who did not benefit from treatment. Overall, good to excellent outcomes were achieved and the risk of complications was found to be minimal. In another study, Zheng et al. (2013) found that 63.9% of patients with supratentorial meningioma and preoperative seizures were seizure free post surgery.

**Conclusions:**

*There is level 2 evidence that craniectomies are not more effective in reducing the frequency of seizures than craniotomies in TBI populations.*

*There is level 4 evidence that a subgroup of ABI patients (those in whom the seizure focus can be accurately localized) would benefit from surgical resection for post-traumatic seizures.*

*There is level 4 evidence that extratemporal resection may be effective for controlling post-traumatic epilepsy in TBI patients.*

**Surgical resection may reduce seizures if the focus of the seizures can be localized.**

**There is no difference in effectiveness between craniectomies and craniotomies for the reduction of the frequency of seizures.**

**10.6 Conclusions**

Post-traumatic seizure disorder is relatively common post ABI, however there are limited effective evidence-based interventions. The majority of traditional pharmacological prophylactic interventions and newer drugs which show more promise are consistently understudied. Surgical interventions, in general, appear to be more effective in treating PTS compared to pharmacological treatment.
10.7 Summary

*There is level 1b evidence that phenytoin is effective in reducing the rate only of early onset post-traumatic seizures in TBI patients.*

*There is conflicting evidence regarding whether or not phenytoin is effective in preventing post-traumatic seizure disorder long term compared to placebo treatment in TBI patients.*

*There is level 1a evidence that valproate is not more effective as a prophylactic anti-seizure medication compared to phenytoin.*

*There is level 1b evidence that levetiracetam is not more effective as a prophylactic anti-seizure medication compared to phenytoin.*

*There is level 2 evidence that there is no difference in the ability, between first generation and new generation anti-epileptic drugs, in reducing the rate of post-traumatic seizures.*

*There is level 2 evidence that glucocorticoid administration within 1 day may put patients at a higher risk of late seizure development.*

*There is level 4 evidence that methylphenidate may be an effective anti-convulsant medication.*

*There is level 4 evidence that an intramuscular injection of midazolam may be effective in stopping active seizure activity.*

*There is level 4 evidence that carbamazepine may reduce seizure activity.*

*There is level 2 evidence that craniectomies are not more effective in reducing the frequency of seizures than craniotomies in TBI populations.*

*There is level 4 evidence that a subgroup of ABI patients (those in whom the seizure focus can be accurately localized) would benefit from surgical resection for post-traumatic seizures.*

*There is level 4 evidence that extratemporal resection may be effective for controlling post-traumatic epilepsy in TBI patients.*
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